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**Definition**

A quiver is a finite oriented graph. Multiple edges are allowed. We do not allow oriented cycles of length 1 or 2.
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Exchange matrices

To a quiver $Q$ with vertices labeled $1, 2, \ldots, n$, we can associate an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric exchange matrix $B = B(Q)$:

$$B(Q) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0 & -2 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & -1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

Conversely, the matrix $B(Q)$ determines the quiver $Q$.

Remark

Most topics discussed today can be extended from quivers to skew-symmetrizable matrices.
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Definition

The quiver mutation $\mu_z : Q \mapsto Q'$ is computed in three steps.

1. For each instance of $x \to z \to y$, introduce an edge $x \to y$.
2. Reverse the direction of all edges incident to $z$.
3. Remove oriented 2-cycles, one by one.

Observation

Quiver mutations are involutions: $\mu_z(\mu_z(Q)) = Q$. 
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Each mutation class defines a cluster algebra.
Detecting mutation equivalence

**Problem**

Determine whether two given quivers are mutation-equivalent.

- No algorithm for solving this problem is known for any $n \geq 4$.
- For $n = 3$, the problem can be solved using a descent algorithm [Assem, Blais, Brüstle, Samson 2006] that identifies a canonical “minimal representative” in a given mutation class.
- This approach does not work for $n \geq 4$. 
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Any planar bicolored (plabic) graph $P$ gives rise to a quiver $Q(P)$. Postnikov’s local moves translate into quiver mutations.

M. Shapiro’s conjecture

For quivers associated with plabic graphs, mutation equivalence can be alternatively described by taking the transitive closure of the relation coming from Postnikov local moves on plabic graphs.

Problem

Determine whether two given plabic graphs are move-equivalent.

Solved for reduced plabic graphs [Postnikov].
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Invariants of quiver mutations

Such invariants can be used to show that some quivers are not mutation equivalent.

Known invariants

- number of vertices in a quiver;
- rank and determinant of $B(Q)$ [Berenstein, SF, Zelevinsky 2005];
- gcd of the matrix entries in each column of $B(Q)$;
- topological invariants of cluster varieties [Lam, Speyer 2017+];
- link of a plabic graph [SF, Pylyavskyy, Shustin, Thurston, 2017].
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Grid quivers

The \( a \times b \) grid quiver is related to the standard cluster structure on the homogeneous coordinate ring of the Grassmannian \( \text{Gr}(a+1, a+b+2) \).

Problem

Show that non-isomorphic grid quivers are not mutation equivalent.

Is there an invariant of quiver mutations that distinguishes between such quivers?
The $a \times b$ grid quiver is related to the standard cluster structure on the homogeneous coordinate ring of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(a+1, a+b+2)$.
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Corollary
Orientations of non-isomorphic trees are not mutation equivalent.
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Find an elementary proof of the above theorem or its corollary (without using categorification).
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**Embeddability**

**Definition**
We say that a quiver $Q$ is **embeddable** into another quiver $Q'$ if $Q$ is a full subquiver of some quiver $Q''$ mutation equivalent to $Q'$. It is more natural to talk about embeddability of mutation classes. Embeddability gives a partial order on mutation classes.

**Theorem [SF, Zelevinsky; Felikson, Shapiro, Tumarkin]**
Let $Q'$ be a quiver on $\geq 3$ vertices. Then

- some 2-vertex quiver $Q$ with $\geq 2$ arrows is embeddable into $Q'$ if and only if $Q'$ is a quiver of infinite type;
- some 2-vertex quiver $Q$ with $\geq 3$ arrows is embeddable into $Q'$ if and only if $Q'$ is of infinite mutation type.
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Problem
For given $Q$ and $Q'$, decide whether $Q$ is embeddable into $Q'$.

This is wide open for every fixed quiver $Q$ on $\geq 2$ vertices. In particular, for any $k \geq 0$, the following problem is open.

Problem
Find an algorithm that detects whether a given quiver can be mutated to a quiver in which some two vertices are connected by exactly $k$ arrows.
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A **mutation cycle** is a sequence of mutations, with no two consecutive mutations applied at the same vertex, that transforms a quiver $Q$ into a quiver isomorphic to $Q$.

Motivation: linearizability/integrability of cluster dynamical systems.

**Examples**

If two vertices $u$ and $v$ in a quiver $Q$ are connected by a single arrow, then the quiver $\mu_u \circ \mu_v \circ \mu_u \circ \mu_v \circ \mu_u (Q)$ is isomorphic to $Q$.

Additional examples include mutation cycles associated with:

- quivers of finite mutation type (cf. Dehn twists on surfaces);
- Zamolodchikov periodicity phenomena (DT transformations);
- mutation-periodic quivers of [Fordy, Marsh 2009].
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