

# Some open problems on Coxeter groups and unimodality

Francesco Brenti

ABSTRACT. In this paper I present some open problems on Coxeter groups and unimodality, together with the main partial results, and computational evidence, that are known about them.

## 1. Coxeter groups

Recall that a *Coxeter system* is a pair  $(W, S)$  where  $S := \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  is a finite set and  $W$  is a group having  $S$  as generating set and relations of the form

$$(s_i s_j)^{m_{i,j}} = e$$

for all  $i, j \in [n]$ , where  $m_{i,j} \in \mathbb{P} \cup \{+\infty\}$  (where  $\mathbb{P} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ),  $m_{i,i} = 1$ ,  $m_{i,j} = m_{j,i} \geq 2$  if  $i \neq j$ , and there is no relation if  $m_{i,j} = +\infty$ . Given  $w \in W$  we let

$$\ell(w) := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there are } s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_k} \in S \text{ such that } w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}\}$$

(where  $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$ ) and call this the *length* of  $w$ . The *right* (resp. *left*) *descent set* of  $w$  is then

$$D_R(w) := \{s \in S : \ell(w) > \ell(ws)\}$$

and

$$D_L(w) := \{s \in S : \ell(w) > \ell(sw)\}.$$

We let

$$T := \{wsw^{-1} : s \in S, w \in W\}$$

be the set of *reflections* of  $W$ , and

$$N_L(w) := \{t \in T : \ell(w) > \ell(tw)\}.$$

Recall that the *Bruhat graph* of  $W$  is the directed graph  $B(W)$  having  $W$  as set of vertices and where, for all  $u, v \in W$ ,  $u \rightarrow v$  if and only if  $v = ut$  for some  $t \in T$  and  $\ell(v) > \ell(u)$ , in this case we also write  $u \xrightarrow{t} v$ . The *Bruhat order* on  $W$  is the partial order on  $W$ , denoted by  $\leq$ , that is the transitive closure of the Bruhat graph. For  $u, v \in W$  we let  $[u, v] := \{z \in W : u \leq z \leq v\}$  and  $\ell(u, v) := \ell(v) - \ell(u)$ .

It is well known (see, e.g., [65, Prop. 5.12] or [14, Cor. 7.1.4]) that if  $(W, S)$  is a Coxeter system then  $\sum_{u \in W} x^{\ell(u)}$  is a rational generating function. The following problem was proposed by Stembridge in [105].

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05-02; Secondary 05A20.

PROBLEM 1.1. *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system. Then*

$$\sum_{t \in T} x^{\ell(t)}$$

*is a rational generating function.*

No partial results on this problem seem to be known.

The *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials* of  $W$  are a family of polynomials  $\{P_{u,v}(q)\}_{u,v \in W} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[q]$  in one variable  $q$ , indexed by pairs of elements of  $W$ , that were first defined by Kazhdan and Lusztig in [71]. These polynomials have found important applications in various areas of mathematics (see, e.g., [72], [7], [41], and also [10], [14], [66], and the references cited there) and have been generalized in various ways (see, e.g., [93], [102, §6], [30], [52], [80], [60], [33], [63], to cite just a few). We find it most convenient here to define the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. Let  $J \subseteq S$ . Recall that the (left) quotient of  $W$  corresponding to  $J$  is

$$W^J := \{u \in W : D_L(u) \subseteq S \setminus J\}.$$

The polynomials can be defined in two ‘‘Theorem-Definitions’’ ([52]).

THEOREM 1.2. *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system,  $J \subseteq S$ , and  $x \in \{-1, q\}$ . Then there is a unique family of polynomials  $\{R_{u,v}^{J,x}\}_{u,v \in W^J} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[q]$  such that, for all  $u, v \in W^J$ :*

- i):  $R_{u,v}^{J,x} = 0$  if  $u \not\leq v$ ;
- ii):  $R_{u,u}^{J,x} = 1$ ;
- iii): if  $u < v$  and  $s \in D_R(v)$  then

$$R_{u,v}^{J,x}(q) = \begin{cases} R_{us,vs}^{J,x}(q), & \text{if } us < u, \\ (q-1)R_{u,vs}^{J,x}(q) + qR_{us,vs}^{J,x}(q), & \text{if } u < us \in W^J, \\ (q-1-x)R_{u,vs}^{J,x}(q), & \text{if } u < us \notin W^J. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 1.3. *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system,  $J \subseteq S$ , and  $x \in \{-1, q\}$ . Then there is a unique family of polynomials  $\{P_{u,v}^{J,x}\}_{u,v \in W^J} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[q]$  such that, for all  $u, v \in W^J$ :*

- i):  $P_{u,v}^{J,x} = 0$  if  $u \not\leq v$ ;
- ii):  $P_{u,u}^{J,x} = 1$ ;
- iii):  $\deg(P_{u,v}^{J,x}) < \frac{1}{2}\ell(u, v)$  if  $u < v$ ;
- iv):

$$q^{\ell(u,v)} P_{u,v}^{J,x} \left( \frac{1}{q} \right) = \sum_{a \in [u,v]^J} R_{u,a}^{J,x}(q) P_{a,v}^{J,x}(q)$$

if  $u \leq v$ , where

$$[u, v]^J := \{a \in W^J : u \leq a \leq v\}.$$

The polynomials  $\{R_{u,v}^{J,x}\}_{u,v \in W^J}$  (resp.,  $\{P_{u,v}^{J,x}\}_{u,v \in W^J}$ ) whose existence the previous results show are called the *parabolic  $R$ -polynomials* (resp., *parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*) of  $W^J$  of type  $x$ . The polynomials  $R_{u,v} := R_{u,v}^{\emptyset,-1}$  ( $= R_{u,v}^{\emptyset,q}$ ) and  $P_{u,v} := P_{u,v}^{\emptyset,-1}$  ( $= P_{u,v}^{\emptyset,q}$ ) for  $u, v \in W$ , are called the  *$R$ -polynomials* (resp., *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*) of  $W$ .

The most outstanding open problem about Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials, particularly from a combinatorial point of view, is the so-called ‘‘Combinatorial Invariance Conjecture’’.

CONJECTURE 1.4. *Let  $(W_1, S_1), (W_2, S_2)$  be two Coxeter systems, and  $u, v \in W_1, w, z \in W_2$  be such that  $[u, v] \simeq [w, z]$  (isomorphic as posets). Then*

$$(1.1) \quad P_{u,v}(q) = P_{w,z}(q).$$

This conjecture was made by Lusztig [79] and independently by Dyer [54]. It may be noted that Kazhdan never conjectured the CIC. However, during a long mathematical conversation in Jerusalem [70] he told me: “I believe the [combinatorial invariance] conjecture to be true”. The conjecture is known to be true if  $\ell(u, v) \leq 4$  (see, e.g., [14, Chap. 5, Exercises 7 and 8]), if  $\ell(u, v) \leq 8$  and  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are both of type  $A$  ([67]), if  $\ell(u, v) \leq 6$  and  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are both of type  $B$  or  $D$  ([67]), if  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are both of type  $\tilde{A}_2$  ([42]), if  $[u, v]$  is a lattice ([24, Thm. 6.3]), if one adds the hypothesis that “ $P_{u,v}(q) = 1$ ” ([43, Thm. C], [56, Prop. 3.3]), if  $uv^{-1} \in T$  and  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are both of type  $A$  ([27, Cor. 4.7], [56, Prop. 3.3]), if  $x = u = e$  ([32]), and for the coefficient of  $q$  if  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are both simply laced ([87]). Note that an equivalent conjecture is obtained if one replaces (1.1) with “ $R_{x,y}(q) = R_{u,v}(q)$ ”. It would be desirable to have computational evidence in favor of the CIC, particularly for the exceptional finite Coxeter groups. I have been asked more than once if I believe the CIC. If I had to bet my money, I would say that it’s true. The following result, proved in [56, Prop. 3.3], has a flavor similar to the CIC, and plays a role in some of the proofs of the CIC in special cases. For  $u, v \in W$  let  $B(u, v)$  be the directed graph induced on  $[u, v]$  by  $B(W)$  (so, the vertex set of  $B(u, v)$  is  $[u, v]$  and, if  $x, y \in [u, v]$ , there is a directed edge from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $B(u, v)$  if and only if  $x \rightarrow y$ ).

THEOREM 1.5. *Let  $(W_1, S_1), (W_2, S_2)$  be two Coxeter systems, and  $u, v \in W_1, w, z \in W_2$  be such that  $[u, v] \simeq [w, z]$  (isomorphic as posets). Then  $B(u, v) \simeq B(w, z)$  (isomorphic as directed graphs).*

The most natural generalization of the CIC to the parabolic polynomials is that if  $(W_1, S_1)$  and  $(W_2, S_2)$  are two Coxeter systems,  $J_1 \subseteq S_1, J_2 \subseteq S_2$ , and  $u, v \in W_1^{J_1}, w, z \in W_2^{J_2}$  are such that  $[u, v]^{J_1} \simeq [w, z]^{J_2}$  (as posets) then  $P_{u,v}^{J_1,q}(q) = P_{w,z}^{J_2,q}(q)$  (equivalently,  $P_{u,v}^{J_1,-1}(q) = P_{w,z}^{J_2,-1}(q), R_{u,v}^{J_1,q}(q) = R_{w,z}^{J_2,q}(q), R_{u,v}^{J_1,-1}(q) = R_{w,z}^{J_2,-1}(q)$ ). Although this statement holds for some particularly nice and interesting quotients ([31], [36]) it’s false in general ([38]). For example, if  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are both of type  $B_5, J_1 = J_2 = S \setminus \{s_3\}$  (numbering as in [14, Appendix A1]),  $u = [4, 1, 5, 2, 3], v = [5, -4, 1, 2, 3], w = [1, 4, 2, 5, 3],$  and  $z = [-4, 1, 5, 2, 3]$  then  $[u, v]^{J_1} \simeq [w, z]^{J_2}$  while  $P_{u,v}^{J_1,q}(q) = q \neq 0 = P_{w,z}^{J_2,q}(q)$ .

A deeper generalization of the CIC to the parabolic setting has been proposed by Marietti in [84].

CONJECTURE 1.6. *Let  $(W_1, S_1), (W_2, S_2)$  be two Coxeter systems,  $J_1 \subseteq S_1, J_2 \subseteq S_2, u, v \in W_1^{J_1}, w, z \in W_2^{J_2}$  and  $f : [u, v] \rightarrow [w, z]$  be a poset isomorphism such that  $f([u, v]^{J_1}) = [w, z]^{J_2}$ . Then*

$$(1.2) \quad P_{u,v}^{J_1,q}(q) = P_{w,z}^{J_2,q}(q).$$

Again, an equivalent statement is obtained by substituting (1.2) with “ $P_{u,v}^{J_1,-1}(q) = P_{w,z}^{J_2,-1}(q)$ ” or the analogous equalities for the parabolic  $R$ -polynomials. It is clear that Conjecture 1.6 reduces to the CIC if  $J_1 = J_2 = \emptyset$ , and that it holds if the naive parabolic CIC does. In addition, Conjecture 1.6 is known to hold if  $u = w = e$  ([85]).

Just as finding a combinatorial interpretation is more satisfactory than proving nonnegativity so finding an explicit algorithm for computing the Kazhdan-Lusztig (or  $R$ ) polynomial of a pair of elements  $u, v \in W$  starting from the Bruhat interval  $[u, v]$  as an abstract poset would be more satisfactory than simply proving the CIC. Recently, using techniques from deep learning ([49]), a candidate such algorithm has been proposed, in the case that  $W$  is a Weyl group of type  $A$ , in [17]. Let  $u, v \in W$ ,  $u \leq v$ . For any  $z \in [u, v]$   $z < v$ , say that  $[u, z]$  is *diamond complete* in  $[u, v]$  if whenever  $a, b, c, d \in [u, v]$  are such that  $a \rightarrow b$ ,  $b \rightarrow d$ ,  $a \rightarrow c$ ,  $c \rightarrow d$ , and  $a, b, c \in [u, z]$  then  $d \in [u, z]$ . Let  $[u, z]$  be such a diamond complete subinterval. For any  $w \in [u, z]$  let  $U(w) := \{x \in [u, v] \setminus [u, z] : w \rightarrow x\}$ , and consider the partial order induced on  $U(w)$  by the Bruhat order. Say that  $U(w)$  *spans a hypercube cluster* if whenever  $A \subseteq U(w)$  is an antichain then there is a unique embedding of directed graphs  $\theta : \mathcal{P}(A) \rightarrow B(u, v)$  such that  $\theta(\emptyset) = w$  and  $\theta(\{a\}) = a$  for all  $a \in A$  (where  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  is the directed graph having the subsets of  $A$  as vertices and where  $B \rightarrow C$  if and only if  $B \subset C$  and  $|C \setminus B| = 1$ ). Finally, say that  $[u, z]$  is a *hypercube decomposition* if ( $[u, z]$  is diamond complete and)  $U(w)$  spans a hypercube cluster for any  $w \in [u, z]$ . In [17] a procedure is given to compute, starting from a hypercube decomposition  $[u, z]$  of a Bruhat interval  $[u, v]$ , and all the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials  $P_{x,y}(q)$  for  $x, y \in [u, v]$  such that  $\ell(x, y) < \ell(u, v)$ , a polynomial  $\tilde{P}_{u,v,z}(q)$ , and the following conjecture is made ([17, Conj. 3.8]).

CONJECTURE 1.7. *Let  $W$  be a Weyl group of type  $A$  and  $u, v \in W$ ,  $u \leq v$ . Then*

$$(1.3) \quad P_{u,v}(q) = \tilde{P}_{u,v,z}(q)$$

for any hypercube decomposition  $[u, z]$  of  $[u, v]$ .

It is shown in [17, Thm. 3.7] that if  $u, v \in S_n$  then  $\{w \in [u, v] : w^{-1}(1) = u^{-1}(1)\}$  is a hypercube decomposition of  $[u, v]$  and (1.3) holds for this choice. Conjecture 1.7 is true for all intervals in  $S_n$  if  $n \leq 7$ , and for millions of intervals in  $S_8$  and  $S_9$ . Note that a Bruhat interval in a general Coxeter group may not have a hypercube decomposition (for example, a 5-crown does not have a hypercube decomposition).

Although the nonnegativity conjecture for the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials ([71]) has been proved ([59]) there is (at least) one other nonnegativity conjecture that is still open. Recall (see [57, §2]) that a *reflection ordering* on  $(W, S)$  is a total order  $\preceq$  on  $T$  such that if  $W'$  is a dihedral reflection subgroup of  $W$  (so  $W' := \langle J \rangle$  for some  $J \subseteq T$  and  $S' := |\{t \in T : N_L(t) \cap W' = \{t\}\}| = 2$ ) then either

$$a \preceq aba \preceq ababa \preceq \cdots \preceq babab \preceq bab \preceq b$$

or

$$a \succeq aba \succeq ababa \succeq \cdots \succeq babab \succeq bab \succeq b$$

where  $\{a, b\} := S'$ . Equivalently, using the canonical bijection between  $T$  and  $\Phi^+$  (see, e.g., [14, Prop. 4.4.5]), a reflection ordering is a total order on  $\Phi^+$  such that if  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  are such that  $\lambda\alpha + \mu\beta \in \Phi^+$  then either  $\alpha \preceq \lambda\alpha + \mu\beta \preceq \beta$  or  $\alpha \succeq \lambda\alpha + \mu\beta \succeq \beta$ . Reflection orderings always exist, and in fact there are many (we refer the reader to [14, §5.2], and [57] for further information about reflection orderings). If  $W$  is of type  $A$  then the lexicographic order  $(1, 2) \prec (1, 3) \prec \cdots \prec (1, n) \prec (2, 3) \prec \cdots \prec (n-1, n)$  is a reflection ordering.

Let  $\prec$  be a reflection ordering of  $(W, S)$  and  $\Gamma = x_0 \xrightarrow{t_1} x_1 \xrightarrow{t_2} \dots \xrightarrow{t_k} x_k$  be a directed path in the Bruhat graph  $B(W)$ . Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two noncommuting variables. We associate to  $\Gamma$  a monomial  $m_{\prec}(\Gamma) := y_1 \cdots y_{k-1}$  in  $a$  and  $b$  by letting

$$y_j := \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } t_j \prec t_{j+1}, \\ b, & \text{if } t_j \succ t_{j+1}, \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ , and  $m_{\prec}(\Gamma) := 1$  if  $k = 1$ , and let

$$\tilde{\psi}_{u,v} := \sum_{\Gamma} m_{\prec}(\Gamma)$$

where the sum is over all directed paths  $\Gamma$  from  $u$  to  $v$  in  $B(W)$ . It can be shown (see [9, Prop. 1.5], and also [28, Prop. 4.4]) that, although  $m_{\prec}(\Gamma)$  depends on the reflection ordering used to define it,  $\tilde{\psi}_{u,v}$  does not. Also, it is known (see, e.g., the proof of Theorem 4 in [6]) that there is a polynomial  $\tilde{\Phi}_{u,v} \in \mathbb{Z}\langle c, d \rangle$  in two non-commuting variables  $c$  and  $d$  such that

$$\tilde{\psi}_{u,v}(a, b) = \tilde{\Phi}_{u,v}(a + b, ab + ba).$$

The polynomial  $\tilde{\Phi}_{u,v}(c, d)$  is called the *complete  $cd$ -index* of  $u, v$ . The reason for this terminology lies in the fact that the homogeneous part of highest possible degree in  $\tilde{\Phi}_{u,v}(c, d)$  (where  $\deg(a) = \deg(b) = \deg(c) = 1$  and  $\deg(d) = 2$ ) namely  $\ell(u, v) - 1$  is (by [104, Thm. 3.14.2] and the fact that the assignment  $\lambda(w, z) := w^{-1}z$  for  $u \leq w \triangleleft z \leq v$  is an *EL*-labeling of  $[u, v]$ , [57]) the  $cd$ -index of  $[u, v]$  as an Eulerian poset (see, e.g., [104, §3.17]). It is known ([9, Thm. 4.1]) that the coefficients of the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial  $P_{u,v}(q)$  are given by explicit linear combinations of the coefficients of  $\tilde{\Phi}_{u,v}(c, d)$ . The following conjecture appears in [9, Conj. 6.1].

CONJECTURE 1.8. *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system, and  $u, v \in W$ ,  $u \leq v$ . Then*

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{u,v} \in \mathbb{N}\langle c, d \rangle.$$

Conjecture 1.8 has been verified if  $W$  is of type  $A$  and  $\ell(u, v) \leq 7$ , and is known to be true for the coefficients of the monomials of highest possible degree by [69, Thm. 1.3] since every Bruhat interval  $[u, v]$  is a Gorenstein\* poset. Some further evidence is presented in [9, §6] and [16].

It is known ([90], see also [45]) that if  $P(q) \in \mathbb{N}[q]$  is such that  $P(0) = 1$  then there are  $n \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $u, v \in S_n$  such that  $P(q) = P_{u,v}(q)$ . The following related problem was posed by Björner ([13]).

PROBLEM 1.9. *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system and  $u, v \in W$ ,  $u \leq v$ . Is it true that then there are a Coxeter system  $(W', S')$  and  $w \in W'$  such that*

$$P_{u,v}(q) = P_{e,w}(q) ?$$

It is easy to see that the answer to this question is negative if one requires that  $W' = W$ . No partial results on this problem seem to be known.

The proof of the celebrated nonnegativity conjecture for Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials ([59]) makes the following problem even more compelling.

PROBLEM 1.10. *Find a combinatorial interpretation for Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials.*

So, given a Coxeter system  $(W, S)$  and  $u, v \in W$ ,  $u \leq v$ , one would like to produce (in some explicit combinatorial way) a set  $M(u, v)$  and function  $s : M(u, v) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad P_{u,v}(q) = \sum_{a \in M(u,v)} q^{s(a)}.$$

Problem 1.10 is open, and interesting, even for  $q = 1$ . Combinatorial interpretations for the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials are known if  $W$  is a Weyl group and  $v$  is a Deodhar element ([12, Thms. 2.3 and 5.12]), if  $(W, S)$  is a universal Coxeter system ([55, Thm. 3.8]), if  $W$  is a Weyl group and  $v$  is rationally smooth ([11, Thm. 2.5], [71, Thm. A2]), if the Coxeter graph of  $(W, S)$  is acyclic and  $u$  and  $v$  are Boolean elements ([86, Cor. 4.3]), if the Coxeter graph of  $(W, S)$  is a cycle and  $u$  and  $v$  are Boolean elements ([82, Thm.4.4]), if  $W$  is a Weyl group and  $u, v \in W^J$  where  $(W, W_J)$  is a Hermitian symmetric pair ( $J \subseteq S$ ) ([18], see also [61]), and if  $[u, v]$  is isomorphic (as a poset) to a Boolean algebra or to the lattice of faces of an  $(\ell(u, v) - 1)$ -dimensional cube ([24, Cor. 6.8 and 6.9]). In addition to the above cases, if  $W$  is of type  $A$  then combinatorial interpretations are known for various special families of permutations ([44], [45], [46], [110]), including if  $u = e$ ,  $v([3]) = [n - 2, n]$ ,  $v([n - 2, n]) = [3]$  and  $v(4) > v(5) > \dots > v(n - 3)$  ([46, Cor. 5.5], [44, Thm. 4.8]). The combinatorial interpretation given in [75] (see also [14, Chap.5, Ex.39]) in the case that  $v$  is a permutation that avoids 3412 must be considered a conjecture since no complete proof of that statement is known. It should be noted that Deodhar in [53] constructs, given a Coxeter system  $(W, S)$  for which the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials have nonnegative coefficients and  $u, v \in W$ ,  $u \leq v$ , a set  $M(u, v)$  and function  $s : M(u, v) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  such that (1.4) holds. However, the definition of  $M(u, v)$  is recursive and for this reason this is not generally considered a “combinatorial interpretation”. Still, the combinatorial interpretations for Deodhar elements referred to above, and a few others, have been obtained using Deodhar’s general framework. For a more algebraic viewpoint on the combinatorial interpretation problem, and Deodhar’s construction, see [78]. A hint for a general combinatorial interpretation could come from the following result, which is proved in [89, Thm. 5.8].

**THEOREM 1.11.** *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system and  $u, v, w \in W$ ,  $u \leq v \leq w$ . Then*

$$P_{v,w}(q) \leq P_{u,w}(q)$$

(coefficientwise).

Just as for the CIC one may consider the combinatorial interpretation problem also for the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials. The nonnegativity of the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of type  $q$ ,  $\{P_{u,v}^{J,q}(q)\}_{u,v \in W^J}$ , is proved in [77, Thm. 1.1]. Combinatorial interpretations of these polynomials are known if  $W$  is of type  $A$  and  $W^J$  is a tight quotient ([31], [37]), if  $W$  is a Weyl group and  $W^J$  is a quasi-minuscule quotient ([31], [36], [38], see also [94], [76], [48]), and if  $u$  and  $v$  are Boolean elements and  $W$  is of type  $A$  ([83, Thm. 5.2]). Also, it is known ([92, Thm. 5.8]) that it is enough to find a combinatorial interpretation for the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials  $\{P_{u,v}^{J,x}\}_{u,v \in W^J}$  in the case that  $|J| = |S| - 1$ . A hint for a combinatorial interpretation could come from the following result which was conjectured in [34] and proved in [77, Cor. 8.4].

THEOREM 1.12. *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system and  $I \subseteq J \subseteq S$ . Then*

$$P_{u,v}^{J,q}(q) \leq P_{u,v}^{I,q}(q)$$

(coefficientwise) for all  $u, v \in W^J$ .

The nonnegativity of the parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of type  $-1$  is open in general, but see remark (2) after Theorem 1.1 in [77].

## 2. Unimodality

Recall that a sequence  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  is said to be *unimodal* if there is  $0 \leq m \leq n$  such that  $a_0 \leq \dots \leq a_{m-1} \leq a_m \geq a_{m+1} \geq \dots \geq a_n$ , and is said to be *symmetric* if  $a_j = a_{n-j}$  for all  $j = 0, \dots, n$ . It is said to be *log-concave* if  $(a_j)^2 \geq a_{j-1}a_{j+1}$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  and *ultra log-concave* if the sequence  $\{\frac{a_j}{\binom{n}{j}}\}_{j=0, \dots, n}$  is log-concave.

We say that a polynomial  $\sum_{j=0}^n a_j t^j$  is *unimodal* (resp. *symmetric*, *log-concave*, *ultra log-concave*) if the sequence  $(a_0, \dots, a_n)$  has the corresponding property. If  $P(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j t^j$  is symmetric then there are unique numbers  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$  such that

$$P(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \gamma_k t^k (1+t)^{n-2k}.$$

The vector  $(\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor})$  is called the  $\gamma$ -vector of  $P(t)$ , and the polynomial  $P(t)$  is said to be  $\gamma$ -nonnegative if  $\gamma_k \geq 0$  for all  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ . It is clear that a  $\gamma$ -nonnegative polynomial is unimodal, and it is not hard to see (see, e.g., [20, Rem. 1.3.1]) that if  $P(t)$  is a symmetric polynomial with nonnegative coefficients and only real roots then  $P(t)$  is  $\gamma$ -nonnegative. Unimodal, log-concave, and  $\gamma$ -nonnegative polynomials appear often in combinatorics, geometry, and algebra (see, e.g., [101], [23], [20], [4], and the references cited there). A real (finite or infinite) matrix  $A := (A_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is said to be *totally positive* (or, *TP*, for short, sometimes more appropriately called *totally nonnegative*, [62]) if all the minors of  $A$  have nonnegative determinant. There is a deep relationship between polynomials with only real roots and totally positive matrices. The following result was first proved in [58] (see also [88, Thm. 4.5]).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let  $P(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j t^j$  be a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients. Then  $P(t)$  has only real roots if and only if the matrix*

$$(a_{j-i})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$$

*is totally positive (where  $a_k := 0$  if  $k < 0$  or  $k > n$ ).*

Totally positive matrices arise in a number of areas in science, including mathematics, statistics, probability, economics, mechanics, and computer science (see, e.g., [68], the Foreword of [88], [62, Sec. 0.2] and the references cited there).

For  $\sigma \in S_n$  let

$$L(\sigma) := |\{(i, j) \in [n]^2 : i < j, \sigma(i) > \sigma(j), i \not\equiv j \pmod{2}\}|.$$

The statistic  $L$  is known as the *odd length* or *odd inversion number* of  $\sigma$ . The statistic was introduced in [73] in relation to formed spaces and has been further studied in [39] and [40]. The following conjecture appears in [40, Conj. 6.2].

CONJECTURE 2.2. *Let  $n \in \mathbb{P}$ ,  $n \geq 5$ . Then*

$$L_n(x) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} x^{L(\sigma)}$$

*is symmetric and unimodal.*

The conjecture has been verified for  $n \leq 11$ . The symmetry statement is clear since  $L(\sigma w_0) = L(w_0) - L(\sigma)$  for all  $\sigma \in S_n$  where  $w_0$  is the longest permutation  $w_0 = n n - 1 \cdots 3 2 1$ . Note that, in general,  $L_n(x)$  is not log-concave and not  $\gamma$ -nonnegative.

Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system. The following result follows easily from the case  $J = \emptyset$  of Theorem 1.2.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system. Then there exists a unique family of polynomials  $\{\tilde{R}_{u,v}(t)\}_{u,v \in W} \subseteq \mathbb{N}[t]$  such that*

$$R_{u,v}(q) = q^{\ell(u,v)/2} \tilde{R}_{u,v}(q^{1/2} - q^{-1/2}).$$

So, knowledge of the  $\tilde{R}$ -polynomials is equivalent to knowledge of the  $R$ -polynomials. Combinatorial interpretations of the polynomials  $\{\tilde{R}_{u,v}(t)\}_{u,v \in W}$  have been given in [51] and [57], see [14, Thms 5.3.4 and 5.3.7]. It is easy to see that, if  $u \leq v$ , then  $\deg(\tilde{R}_{u,v}) = \ell(u, v)$  and the powers appearing in  $\tilde{R}_{u,v}(t)$  are all of the same parity. More precisely, there is a polynomial  $Q_{u,v}(t) \in \mathbb{N}[t]$  such that  $\tilde{R}_{u,v}(t) = Q_{u,v}(t^2)$  if  $\ell(u, v) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $\tilde{R}_{u,v}(t) = t Q_{u,v}(t^2)$  if  $\ell(u, v) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . It is of interest to characterize the  $R$ -polynomials. In this respect I propose the following conjecture which is a generalization of [29, Conj. 7.1].

CONJECTURE 2.4. *Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system and  $u, v \in W$ ,  $u \leq v$ . Then the polynomial  $Q_{u,v}(t)$  is log-concave.*

The conjecture has been verified if  $W$  is of type  $F_4$ , or  $H_3$ , or  $A_n$ , or  $B_n$ , or  $D_n$  and  $n \leq 5$ , and for dihedral groups. Note that the polynomial  $Q_{u,v}(t)$  is not, in general, ultra log-concave. For example, if  $W$  is of type  $A_5$ ,  $u = 213465$ , and  $v = 563412$  then  $\tilde{R}_{u,v} = t^2 + 4t^4 + 6t^6 + 5t^8 + t^{10}$  and neither the sequence  $(0, 1, 4, 6, 5, 1)$  nor the sequence  $(1, 4, 6, 5, 1)$  are ultra log-concave.

Despite the settling ([64]) of the celebrated log-concavity conjecture for chromatic polynomials ([91]) there is (at least) one other unimodality statement about them that is still open. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a (simple, loopless) graph on  $p$  vertices and  $\chi(G; x)$  be its chromatic polynomial. Write

$$\chi(G; x) = \sum_{i=0}^p c_i \langle x \rangle_i$$

where  $\langle x \rangle_i := x(x+1) \cdots (x+i-1)$  for  $i \geq 1$  and  $\langle x \rangle_0 := 1$ . The  $\tau$ -polynomial of  $G$  is

$$\tau(G; x) := \sum_{i=0}^p c_i x^i.$$

The  $\tau$ -polynomial was first introduced and studied in [22] where the following combinatorial interpretation of it is given. Let  $\pi \in \Pi(V)$  where  $\Pi(V)$  is the set of all set partitions of  $V$ , say  $\pi = \{B_1, \dots, B_k\}$ . For  $B \subseteq V$  let  $G[B]$  be the subgraph



induced by  $B$  (so  $G[B] = (B, \{\{i, j\} \in E : i, j \in B\})$ ) and  $G[\pi] := \biguplus_{i=1}^k G[B_i]$ . Finally, for a graph  $H$ , let  $a(H)$  be the number of acyclic orientations of  $H$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a graph. Then*

$$\tau(G; x) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(V)} a(G[\pi]) x^{|\pi|}.$$

The following problem was raised in [22, Prob. 7.1].

**PROBLEM 2.6.** *Does  $\tau(G; x)$  have only real roots for all graphs  $G$ ?*

The answer is yes for all connected graphs on  $\leq 8$  vertices.

Let  $P$  be a convex polytope of dimension  $d$ . Recall (see, e.g., [103, Chap. III, §1]) that  $P$  is *simplicial* if every proper face of it is a simplex. The *boundary complex*  $\Delta(P)$  of  $P$  is then the simplicial complex of all the proper faces of  $P$ . Being a simplicial complex,  $\Delta(P)$  has an  $h$ -vector  $(h_0(\Delta(P)), \dots, h_d(\Delta(P)))$  (we refer the reader to, e.g., [103, Chap. II, §2, p. 58] for the definition of the  $h$ -vector of a simplicial complex). The following famous result is well known (see, e.g., [103, Chap. III, Thm. 1.1]).

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $P$  be a simplicial convex polytope. Then the  $h$ -vector of  $\Delta(P)$  is symmetric and unimodal.*

For the barycentric subdivision of a simplicial convex polytope more can be said ([35, Cor. 3]).

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $P$  be a simplicial convex polytope. Then the (generating polynomial of the)  $h$ -vector of the barycentric subdivision of  $P$  has only real roots.*

The following problem is natural, and has been circulated informally by the author since 2004.

**PROBLEM 2.9.** *Let  $\sum_{i=0}^d h_i t^i \in \mathbb{N}[t]$  be a symmetric, monic polynomial with only real roots. Is there a simplicial convex polytope whose  $h$ -vector is  $(h_0, \dots, h_d)$ ?*

The answer is yes if  $d \leq 9$  and  $h_i \leq 100$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Note that there are numerical characterizations both of  $h$ -vectors of simplicial convex polytopes ([103, Chap. III, Thm. 1.1]) as well as of monic polynomials with only real roots and nonnegative coefficients (see Theorem 2.1) thus Problem 2.9 is really asking whether one such set of inequalities implies the other one. The following related problem appears in [74, Question 4.4].

**PROBLEM 2.10.** *Let  $\sum_{i=0}^d h_i t^i \in \mathbb{N}[t]$  be a polynomial with only real roots such that  $h_0 = 1$  and  $h_0 < h_1 < \dots < h_k$  for some  $0 \leq k \leq d$ . Is there a simplicial complex whose  $f$ -vector is  $(h_0, h_1 - h_0, \dots, h_k - h_{k-1})$ ?*

Note that, by [103, Chap. III, Thm. 1.1], a positive answer to Problem 2.10 implies a positive answer to Problem 2.9. Related results and problems also appear in [8].

Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system. It is of interest, and difficult, to obtain properties of the rank generating function of Bruhat intervals  $[u, v]$ ,  $u, v \in W$  (see, e.g., [15]). In this respect, I feel that the following holds.

**CONJECTURE 2.11.** *Let  $W$  be a Weyl group, and  $u, v \in W$ . Then  $[u, v]$  is rank log-concave.*

The conjecture has been verified if  $W$  is of type  $A_n$  and  $n \leq 5$ , or  $D_n$  and  $n \leq 5$ , or  $B_n$  and  $n \leq 4$ , or  $B_5$  and  $\ell(u, v) \geq 20$ , or  $F_4$ , and for the dihedral groups. Note that the corresponding statement does not hold for finite Coxeter systems. For example, if  $(W, S)$  is of type  $H_3$ ,  $u = s_3$ , and  $v = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_2 s_1 s_3$  (where  $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ ,  $m(s_1, s_2) = 5$ , and  $m(s_2, s_3) = 3$ ) then the rank generating function of  $[u, v]$  is  $1 + 3t + 5t^2 + 7t^3 + 10t^4 + 10t^5 + 5t^6 + t^7$ .

Many matrices arising in combinatorics are known to be TP (see, e.g., [21], [25], and [26]). It is therefore surprising that for a fundamental and old combinatorial matrix such as the one consisting of the Eulerian numbers this property has not yet been settled. For  $n \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $A(n, k)$  be the corresponding *Eulerian number* (so,  $A(n, k)$  is the number of permutations in  $S_n$  that have  $k$  descents). The following conjecture was first put forward in [25, Conj. 6.10].

CONJECTURE 2.12. *The matrix*

$$A := (A(n+1, k))_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

*is totally positive.*

It has been checked that  $(A(n+1, k))_{0 \leq n, k \leq 44}$  is TP. A more general conjecture, which includes Conjecture 2.12, has been proposed, and proved in some special cases, in [47, Conj. 1.4]. We feel that the following stronger property (which could be called “monotone total positivity”) actually holds.

CONJECTURE 2.13. *For all  $i, j, r \in \mathbb{N}$  the determinant of the submatrix determined by the rows indexed by  $i, i+1, \dots, i+r$  and columns indexed by  $j, j+1, \dots, j+r$  is a monotonically increasing function of  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

We have checked that this is true if  $j+r \leq 44$  and  $i+r \leq 44$ . Note that Conjecture 2.13 implies Conjecture 2.12 by [88, Thm. 2.8].

**Acknowledgments:** Some of the computations for the research presented in this paper have been carried out using some Maple packages for computing with Coxeter systems and posets developed by Pietro Mongelli and John Stembridge. I would like to thank Mario Marietti and Volkmar Welker for pointing out some relevant references. The author was partially supported by the MIUR Excellence Department Project CUP E83C18000100006.

## References

1. R. Adin, *On face numbers of rational simplicial polytopes with symmetry*, Adv. Math., **115**(1995), 269-285.
2. H. H. Andersen, *The irreducible characters for semi-simple algebraic groups and for quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Zürich, 1994, Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 1995, pp. 732–743.
3. T. Ando, *Totally Positive Matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **90** (1987), 165-219.
4. C. Athanasiadis, *Gamma-positivity in combinatorics and geometry*, Sémin. Lothar. Combin., **77**([2016-2018]), Art. B77i, 64 pp.
5. M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
6. M. Bayer, A. Klapper, *A new index for polytopes*, Discrete Comput. Geom., **6** (1991), 33–47.
7. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, *Localisation de  $g$ -modules*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **292** (1981), 15–18.
8. J. Bell, M. Skandera, *Multicomplexes and polynomials with real zeros*, Discrete Math., **307** (2007), 668–682.

9. L. Billera, F. Brenti, *Quasisymmetric functions and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, Israel J. Math., **184** (2011), 317–348.
10. S. Billey, V. Lakshmibai, *Singular loci of Schubert varieties*, Progress in Mathematics, **182**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2000. xii+251 pp.
11. S. Billey, A. Postnikov, *Smoothness of Schubert varieties via patterns in root subsystems*, Adv. in Appl. Math., **34** (2005), 447–466.
12. S. Billey, B. Jones, *Embedded factor patterns for Deodhar elements in Kazhdan-Lusztig theory*, Ann. Comb., **11** (2007), 285–333.
13. A. Björner, private communication, Djursholm, 1992.
14. A. Björner, F. Brenti, *Combinatorics of Coxeter Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **231**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
15. A. Björner, T. Ekedahl, *On the shape of Bruhat intervals*, Ann. of Math., **170**(2009), 799–817.
16. S. Blanco, *The complete cd-index of dihedral and universal Coxeter groups*, Electron. J. Combin., **18** (2011), RP174, 16 pp.
17. C. Blundell, L. Buesing, A. Davies, P. Veličković, G. Williamson, *Towards combinatorial invariance for Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, arXiv:2111.15161, Represent. Theory, to appear.
18. B. D. Boe, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for Hermitian symmetric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **309** (1988), 279–294.
19. P. Brändén, *Actions on permutations and unimodality of descent polynomials*, European J. Combin., **29**(2008), 514–531.
20. P. Brändén, *Unimodality, log-concavity, real-rootedness and beyond*, in Handbook of Enumerative Combinatorics (M. Bona, Editor), CRC Press, 2015, to appear.
21. F. Brenti, *Unimodal, log-concave, and Pólya Frequency sequences in Combinatorics*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., no.413, 1989.
22. F. Brenti, *Expansions of chromatic polynomials and log-concavity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **332** (1992), 729–756.
23. F. Brenti, *Log-concave and unimodal sequences in Algebra, Combinatorics, and Geometry: an update*, Contemporary Math., **178** (1994), 71–89.
24. F. Brenti, *A combinatorial formula for Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, Invent. Math., **118** (1994), 371–394.
25. F. Brenti, *Combinatorics and total positivity*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, **71** (1995), 175–218.
26. F. Brenti, *The applications of total positivity to combinatorics, and conversely*, in Total positivity and its applications, 451–473, Math. Appl., **359**, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996.
27. F. Brenti, *Combinatorial properties of the Kazhdan-Lusztig R-polynomials for  $S_n$* , Advances in Math., **126** (1997), 21–51.
28. F. Brenti, *Combinatorial expansions of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, J. London Math. Soc., **55** (1997), 448–472.
29. F. Brenti, *Kazhdan-Lusztig and R-polynomials from a combinatorial point of view*, Discrete Math., **193** (1998), 93–116.
30. F. Brenti, *Twisted incidence algebras and Kazhdan-Lusztig-Stanley functions*, Adv. Math., **148** (1999), 44–74.
31. F. Brenti, *Kazhdan-Lusztig and R-polynomials, Young’s lattice, and Dyck partitions*, Pacific J. Math., **207** (2002), 257–286.
32. F. Brenti, F. Caselli, M. Marietti, *Special matchings and Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, Adv. Math., **202** (2006), 555–601.
33. F. Brenti, F. Caselli, M. Marietti, *Diamonds and Hecke algebra representations*, Int. Math. Research Notices, **2006**, Art. ID 29407, 34 pp.
34. F. Brenti, talk at *Festive Combinatorics in honor of Anders Björner’s 60th birthday*, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH), Stockholm, Sweden, 5/28–30, 2008.
35. F. Brenti, V. Welker, *f-vectors of barycentric subdivisions*, Math. Zeit., **259** (2008), 849–865.
36. F. Brenti, *Parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig Polynomials for Hermitian Symmetric Pairs.*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **361** (2009), 1703–1729.
37. F. Brenti, F. Incitti, M. Marietti, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials, tight quotients and Dyck superpartitions*, Advances in Applied Math., **47** (2011), 589–614.
38. F. Brenti, P. Mongelli, P. Sentinelli, *Parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for quasi-minuscule quotients*, Advances in Applied Math., **78** (2016), 27–55.

39. F. Brenti, A. Carnevale, *Proof of a conjecture of Klopsch-Voll on Weyl groups of type A*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **369** (2017), 7531-7547.
40. F. Brenti, A. Carnevale, *Odd length: odd diagrams and descent classes*, Discrete Math., **344** (2021), no. 5, 112308, 17 pp.
41. J.-L. Brylinski, M. Kashiwara, *Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture and holonomic systems*, Invent. Math., **64** (1981), 387-410.
42. G. Burrull, N. Libedinsky, D. Plaza, *Combinatorial invariance conjecture for  $\tilde{A}_2$* , Int. Math. Research Notices, 2022, rnac105, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnac105>.
43. J. B. Carrell, *The Bruhat graph of a Coxeter group, a conjecture of Deodhar, and rational smoothness of Schubert varieties*, Algebraic Groups and Their Generalizations: Classical Methods (University Park, 1991), 53-61, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **56**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
44. F. Caselli, *Proof of two conjectures of Brenti and Simion on Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, J. Algebraic Combin., **18** (2003), 171-187.
45. F. Caselli, *A simple combinatorial proof of a generalization of a result of Polo*, Represent. Theory, **8** (2004), 479-486.
46. F. Caselli, M. Marietti, *Formulas for multi-parameter classes of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials in  $S(n)$* , Discrete Math., **306** (2006), 711-725.
47. X. Chen, B. Deb, A. Dyachenko, T. Gilmore, A. Sokal, *Coefficientwise total positivity of some matrices defined by linear recurrences*, Sem. Lothar. Combin., **85B** (2021), Art. 30, 12 pp.
48. A. Cox, M. De Visscher, *Diagrammatic Kazhdan-Lusztig theory for the (walled) Brauer algebra*, J. Algebra, **340** (2011), 151-181.
49. A. Davies, P. Veličković, L. Buesing, S. Blackwell1, D. Zheng, N. Tomašev, R. Tanburn, P. Battaglia, C. Blundell, A. Juhasz, M. Lackenby, G. Williamson, D. Hassabis, P. Kohli, *Advancing mathematics by guiding human intuition with AI*, Nature, **600** (2021), 70-74.
50. E. Delanoy, *Combinatorial invariance of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials on intervals starting from the identity*, J. Algebraic Combin., **24** (2006), 437-463.
51. V. V. Deodhar, *On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings. I. A finer decomposition of Bruhat cells*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 499-511.
52. V. V. Deodhar, *On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings. II. The parabolic analogue of KL polynomials*, J. Algebra **111** (1987), 483-506.
53. V. V. Deodhar, *A combinatorial setting for questions in Kazhdan-Lusztig Theory*, Geom. Dedicata, **36** (1990), 95-119.
54. M. J. Dyer, *Hecke algebras and reflections in Coxeter groups*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Sydney, 1987.
55. M. Dyer, *On some generalisations of the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for "universal" Coxeter systems*, J. Algebra **116** (1988), 353-371.
56. M. J. Dyer, *On the "Bruhat graph" of a Coxeter system*, Compos. Math. **78** (1991), 185-191.
57. M. J. Dyer, *Hecke algebras and shellings of Bruhat intervals*, Compos. Math., **89** (1993), 91-115.
58. A. Edrei, *Proof of a conjecture of Schoenberg on the generating function of a totally positive sequence*, Canad. J. Math., **5** (1953), 86-94.
59. B. Elias, G. Williamson, *The Hodge theory of Soergel bimodules*, Ann. of Math, 180 (2014), 1089-1136.
60. B. Elias, N. Proudfoot, M. Wakefield, *The Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial of a matroid*, Adv. Math., **299** (2016), 36-70.
61. T. J. Enright, B. Shelton, *Categories of highest weight modules: applications to classical Hermitian symmetric pairs*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 367, 1987.
62. S. Fallat, C. Johnson, *Totally nonnegative matrices*, Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011. xvi+248 pp.
63. R. Green, J. Losonczy, *Canonical bases for Hecke algebra quotients*, Math. Res. Lett., **6** (1999), 213-222.
64. J. Huh, *Milnor numbers of projective hypersurfaces and the chromatic polynomial of graphs*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **25**(2012), 907-927.
65. J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no.29, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

66. J. E. Humphreys, *Representations of semisimple Lie algebras in the BGG category  $O$* , Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 94, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, xvi+289 pp., 2008.
67. F. Incitti, *More on the combinatorial invariance of Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, **114**(2007), 461–482.
68. S. Karlin, *Total Positivity*, vol.1, Stanford University Press, 1968.
69. K. Karu, *The cd-index of fans and posets*, Compos. Math., **142** (2006), 701-718.
70. D. Kazhdan, private communication, Jerusalem, 2001.
71. D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math., **53** (1979), 165–184.
72. D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Schubert varieties and Poincaré duality*, in Geometry of the Laplace operator, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 34, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1980, pp. 185–203.
73. B. Klopsch, C. Voll, *Igusa-type functions associated to finite formed spaces and their functional equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **361** (2009), no. 8, 4405-4436.
74. M. Kubitzke, V. Welker, *Enumerative  $g$ -theorems for the Veronese construction for formal power series and graded algebras*, Adv. in Appl. Math., **49** (2012), 307–325.
75. A. Lascoux, *Polynômes de Kazhdan-Lusztig pour les variétés de Schubert vexillaires*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., **321** (1995), 667–670.
76. T. Lejczyk, C. Stroppel, *A graphical description of  $(D_n, A_{n-1})$  Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, Glasg. Math. J., **55** (2013), 313–340.
77. N. Libedinsky, G. Williamson, *The anti-spherical category*, Adv. Math., **405** (2022), 108509.
78. N. Libedinsky, G. Williamson, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and subexpressions*, J. Algebra, **568** (2021), 181–192.
79. G. Lusztig, private communications, 1980.
80. G. Lusztig, D. Vogan, *Singularities of closures of  $K$ -orbits on flag manifolds*, Invent. Math., **71** (1983), 365–379.
81. M. Marietti, *Kazhdan-Lusztig Theory: Boolean elements, special matchings and combinatorial invariance*, Ph.D. Thesis, Università di Roma “La Sapienza”, 2003.
82. M. Marietti, *Boolean elements in Kazhdan-Lusztig theory*, J. Algebra, **295** (2006), 1–26.
83. M. Marietti, *Parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig and  $R$ -polynomials for Boolean elements in the symmetric group*, European J. Combin., **31** (2010), 908–924.
84. M. Marietti, *Special matchings and parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **368** (2016), 5247–5269.
85. M. Marietti, *The combinatorial invariance conjecture for parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of lower intervals*, Adv. Math., **335** (2018), 180–210.
86. P. Mongelli, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials of Boolean elements*, J. Algebraic Combin. **39** (2014), 497–525.
87. L. Patimo, *A combinatorial formula for the coefficient of  $q$  in Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, Int. Math. Res. Not., **5** 2021, 3203–3223.
88. A. Pinkus, *Totally positive matrices*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **181**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, xii+182 pp.
89. D. Plaza, *Graded cellularity and the monotonicity conjecture*, J. Algebra, **473** (2017), 324–351.
90. P. Polo, *Construction of arbitrary Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials in symmetric groups*, Represent. Theory, **3**(1999), 90–104.
91. R. C. Read, *An introduction to chromatic polynomials*, J. Combin. Theory, **4**(1968), 52–71.
92. P. Sentinelli, *Isomorphisms of Hecke modules and parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, J. Algebra, **403** (2014), 1–18.
93. V. Serganova, *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials for Lie superalgebra  $gl(m|n)$* , I. M. Gelfand Seminar, Adv. Soviet Math., **16** (1993), Part 2, 151–165, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
94. K. Shigechi, P. Zinn-Justin, *Path representation of maximal parabolic Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials*, J. Pure Appl. Alg., **216**(11) (2012), 2533–2548.
95. R. Stanley, *Hilbert functions of graded algebras*, Adv. in Math., **28** (1978), 57-83.
96. R. Stanley, *Unimodal sequences arising from Lie algebras*, in Young Day Proceedings (T. Narayana, R. Mathsen, and J. Williams, Editors), Dekker, New York/Basel, 1980, 127-136.
97. R. Stanley, *The number of faces of a simplicial convex polytope*, Adv. in Math., **35** (1980), 236-238.

98. R. Stanley, *An Introduction to combinatorial commutative algebra*, in Enumeration and Design, (D. M. Jackson and S. A. Vanstone, editors), Academic Press, Toronto, 1984, 3-18.
99. R. Stanley, *Unimodality and Lie superalgebras*, Studies Applied Math., **72** (1985), 263-281.
100. R. P. Stanley, *Generalized  $h$ -vectors, intersection cohomology of toric varieties, and related results*, Adv. Studies Pure Math., **11** (1987), 187-213.
101. R. Stanley, *Log-concave and unimodal sequences in Algebra, Combinatorics and Geometry*, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, **576** (1989), 500-534.
102. R. P. Stanley, *Subdivisions and local  $h$ -vectors*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **5** (1992), 805-851.
103. R. Stanley, *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra*, second edition, Progress in Mathematics, **41**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. x+164 pp.
104. R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol.1, second edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no.49, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2012.
105. J. Stembridge, *Open Problems Session*, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, CA, 1997.
106. J. Stembridge, *Counterexamples to the poset conjectures of Neggers, Stanley, and Stembridge*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **359** (2007), 1115-1128.
107. D. Wagner, *Total positivity of Hadamard products*, J Math. Analysis and Applications, **163** (1992), 459-483.
108. D. Wagner, *Logarithmic concavity and  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$* , J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, **94** (2001), 383-386.
109. D. Wagner, *Multivariate stable polynomials: theory and applications*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), **48** (2011), 53-84.
110. A. Woo, *Permutations with Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomial  $P_{id,w}(q) = 1+q^h$* , with an appendix by S. Billey and J. Weed, Electron. J. Combin., **16** (2009), no. 2, RP10, 32 pp.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA "TOR VERGATA", VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA, 1, 00133 ROMA, ITALY,

*E-mail address:* `brenti@mat.uniroma2.it`