11/3

<u>Sign-reversing involutions + identities involving signs</u>

Some identities w/ ± signs can be proven like this:

Prop. Given a set X with a <u>sign function</u> $\mathrm{sgn}: X \to \{\pm 1\}$
a <u>weight function</u> $\mathrm{wt}: X \to R$ ← abelian gp.

and a <u>sign-reversing</u>, <u>weight-preserving</u>, <u>involution</u>
$\left(\mathrm{sgn}(\tau(x)) = -\mathrm{sgn}(x) \text{ if } \tau(x) \neq x\right)$ $\quad (\mathrm{wt}(\tau(x)) = \mathrm{wt}(x))$ $\quad (\tau^2 = id)$

$$\tau: X \to X,$$

then
$$\sum_{x \in X} \mathrm{sgn}(x) \cdot \mathrm{wt}(x) = \sum_{x \in X^\tau := \{x \in X : \tau(x) = x\}} \mathrm{sgn}(x) \cdot \mathrm{wt}(x).$$

Proof: $X =$



$$\mathrm{sgn}(x) \cdot \mathrm{wt}(x) + \underbrace{\mathrm{sgn}(\tau(x))}_{-\mathrm{sgn}(x)} \cdot \underbrace{\mathrm{wt}(\tau(x))}_{\mathrm{wt}(x)} = 0$$

for all $x \in X \setminus X^\tau$ ▨

<u>Examples</u>

(1) (Warm-up) $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k = 0$ for $n \geq 1$

$\displaystyle\sum_{\text{subsets } S \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{\# S}$

$\mathrm{sgn}: X = 2^{[n]} \to \{\pm 1\}$
$S \mapsto (-1)^{\# S}$

$\mathrm{wt}: X = 2^{[n]} \to \mathbb{Z}$
$S \mapsto 1$

$\tau: \overset{2^{[n]}}{\overset{\parallel}{X}} \to X$

$$S \mapsto \begin{cases} S - \{1\} & \text{if } 1 \in S \\ S \cup \{1\} & \text{if } 1 \notin S \end{cases}$$

is sign-reversing, weight-preserving with no fixed points.

<u>Rmk</u>: This was key identity in pf. of P.I.E.

Pentagonal numbers:



1   5   12

n=1   n=2   n=3

② Recall Thm (Euler's "Pentagonal Number Theorem")

$$\prod_{j\geq 1}(1-q^j) = 1 + \sum_{n\geq 1}(-1)^n\left(q^{\frac{n(3n-1)}{2}} + q^{\frac{n(3n+1)}{2}}\right)$$

$$= 1 - q - q^2 + q^5 + q^7 - q^{12} - q^{15} + \cdots$$

Franklin's (1881) proof of Euler's P.N.T.:

$$\underline{LHS} = (1-q)(1-q^2)\cdots = \sum_{\substack{\text{partitions} \\ \lambda=\lambda_1>\lambda_2>\cdots>\lambda_\ell>0 \\ \text{into distinct parts}}} \overbrace{(-1)^{\ell(\lambda)}}^{\mathrm{sgn}(x)} \overbrace{q^{|\lambda|}}^{\mathrm{wt}(x)}$$

$X :=$ partitions $\lambda=\lambda_1>\lambda_2>\cdots>\lambda_\ell>0$ into distinct parts

$$\underline{RHS} = 1 - q - q^2 + q^5 + q^7 - q^{12} - q^{15} + \cdots$$

$\emptyset$ | n=1 | n=2 | n=3

Franklin defined $\tau: X := \{\lambda \text{ w/ distinct parts}\} \to X$ by comparing

- smallest part and • longest initial run $\lambda_1, \lambda_1-1, \lambda_1-2, \ldots$

and moving the smaller one onto the bigger one.

(or smallest part onto longest run if they are the same size)



involution, sign-reversing, wt-preserving

When one can do this, check $\tau^2 = id$, $\ell(\tau(\lambda)) = \ell(\lambda) \pm 1$, $|\lambda| = |\tau(\lambda)|$

One cannot do this if:

- smallest part + run have the same size and overlap  $n=3$, $|\lambda| = \frac{3n(n-1)}{2}$
- or run is 1 smaller + they overlap  $n=3$, $|\lambda| = \frac{3n(n+1)}{2}$

So τ implies only these shapes contribute to LHS $\Rightarrow$ LHS = RHS. ∎

11/5

(3) Theorem (Kirchoff's Matrix-Tree Theorem)

The number of spanning trees in a multigraph $G=(V,E)$ $\quad [n]=\{1,\dots,n\}$
(multiple/parallel edges allowed)

for any i

is $\det\left(\overline{L(G)}^{\,i,i}\right)$, where $\overline{A}^{\,i,i}$ means A w/ row + column i removed,

and $L(G)$ is the $n \times n$ Laplacian matrix of G:

$$L(G)_{v,w} := \begin{cases} \deg(v) & \text{if } v=w \\ -\#\text{edges from } v \text{ to } w & \text{if } v \neq w \end{cases}$$

Note: A spanning tree T of G is a subgraph of G that's a tree and which contains all the vertices V.

Example $G=$  has 5 spanning trees:



and
$$L(G)=\begin{array}{c c} & \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array} & \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 & -1 \\ -2 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{array}$$

so $\det\left(\overline{L(G)}^{\,1,1}\right)=\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\right)=6-1=5$ ✓

and $\det\left(\overline{L(G)}^{\,3,3}\right)=\det\left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}\right)=9-4=5$ ✓

Example Recall Cayley's formula n^{n-2} for # of (labeled) trees on n vertices. These are the ...

Spanning trees of the complete graph K_n on $[n]$.

e.g. $n=5$ 

$$\overline{L(K_n)}^{n,n} = \begin{array}{c|c} & 1 \ \ 2 \ \cdots \\ \hline \begin{matrix}1\\2\\\vdots\\n\end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots \\ -1 & n-1 & -1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \cdots & & & \end{bmatrix}\end{array} = n\underbrace{I_{n-1}}_{\substack{(n-1)\times(n-1)\\ \text{identity matrix}}} - \underbrace{\mathbb{1}_{n-1}}_{\substack{\text{all 1's matrix}\\ \left[\begin{smallmatrix}1&1&\cdots&1\\1&&\cdots&1\end{smallmatrix}\right]}}$$

What are eigenvalues of $\mathbb{1}_{n-1}$? It has rank $=1$, so $(n-2)$ eigenvalues $=0$

Also $\mathbb{1}_{n-1}\cdot\begin{bmatrix}1\\\vdots\\1\end{bmatrix} = (n-1)\begin{bmatrix}1\\\vdots\\1\end{bmatrix}$, so one eigenvalue $=(n-1)$.

$\mathbb{1}_{n-1}$ has eigenval's $(\overbrace{0,0,\dots,0}^{n-2},n-1) \Rightarrow \overline{L(K)}^{n,n}$ has eigenval's $(\overbrace{n,n,\dots,n}^{n-2},1)$

$\Rightarrow \det(\overline{L(K)}^{n,n}) = n^{n-2} \Rightarrow$ Cayley's formula ✓

In fact, let's prove a weighted, directed version of Kirchoff:

Thm If $L = \begin{array}{c|c} & 1 \quad 2 \quad \cdots \quad n \\ \hline \begin{matrix}1\\2\\\vdots\\n\end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} * & -a_{12} & -a_{13} & \cdots & -a_{1n} \\ -a_{21} & * & -a_{23} & \cdots & -a_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \\ -a_{n1} & \cdots & & \cdots & * \end{bmatrix}\end{array}$ has $L_{ij} = \begin{cases} -a_{ij} & \text{if } i\neq j \\ a_{i1}+a_{i2}+\cdots+\widehat{a_{ii}}+\cdots+a_{in} & \text{if } i=j \end{cases}$

then $\det(\overline{L}^{k,k}) = \sum\limits_{\substack{\text{arborescences}\\ A \text{ directed toward } k}} \prod\limits_{\text{arcs } i\to j \text{ in } A} a_{ij}$, where a_{ij} are formal parameters.



Note: $\Rightarrow$ Kirchoff by setting $a_{ij} = \#\text{ edges } i\to j \text{ in } G$

e.g. $n=3$

$$L = \begin{array}{c|c} & 1 \qquad 2 \qquad 3 \\ \hline \begin{matrix}1\\2\\3\end{matrix} & \begin{bmatrix} a_{12}+a_{13} & -a_{12} & -a_{13} \\ -a_{21} & a_{21}+a_{23} & -a_{23} \\ -a_{31} & -a_{32} & a_{31}+a_{32} \end{bmatrix}\end{array} \Rightarrow \det(\overline{L}^{3,3}) = \det\begin{bmatrix} a_{12}+a_{13} & -a_{12} \\ -a_{21} & a_{21}+a_{23}\end{bmatrix}$$

$= (a_{12}+a_{13})(a_{21}+a_{23}) - (-a_{12})(-a_{21})$

$= a_{12}a_{23} + \cancel{a_{12}a_{21}} + a_{13}a_{21} + a_{13}a_{23} - \cancel{a_{12}a_{21}}$



$a_{12}a_{23}$ $\quad a_{13}a_{21}$ $\quad a_{13}a_{23}$ $= a_{12}a_{23} + a_{13}a_{21} + a_{13}a_{23}$ ✓

11/8

Proof of Thm:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 - a_{11} & -a_{12} & \cdots & -a_{1n} \\ -a_{21} & R_2 - a_{22} & \cdots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ -a_{n1} & \cdots & & R_n - a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \text{ where } R_i = a_{i1} + a_{i2} + \cdots + \hat{a}_{ii} + \cdots + a_{in} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}$$

$$= \left(R_i \cdot \delta_{ij} - a_{ij}\right)_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n \\ j=1,\dots,n}}$$

$\boxed{\text{Recall: } \operatorname{sgn}(w) = (-1)^{\operatorname{inv}(w)}}$

$$\Rightarrow \det(L^{n,n}) = \sum_{w \in S_{n-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(w) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} L_{i,w(i)}$$

"Leibniz formula"

$$= \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n-1] \\ (\text{fixed by } w)}} \prod_{i \in S} (R_i - a_{ii}) \sum_{\substack{w \in S_{[n-1]\setminus S} \\ \text{a derangement}}} \operatorname{sgn}(w) \prod_{i \in [n-1]\setminus S} (-a_{i,w(i)})$$

$$= \sum_{S \subseteq [n-1]} \sum_{T \subseteq S} \prod_{i \in T} R_i \prod_{i \in S \setminus T} (-a_{ii}) \sum_{\substack{w \in S_{[n-1]\setminus S} \\ \text{derang.}}} \operatorname{sgn}(w) \cdot \prod_{i \in [n-1]\setminus S} (-a_{i,w(i)})$$

Important property of sign of permutation: $\operatorname{sgn}(v \cdot w) = \operatorname{sgn}(v) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(w)$

$$= \sum_{T \subseteq [n-1]} \underbrace{\prod_{i \in T} (a_{i1} + a_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in})}_{\parallel} \cdot \sum_{w \in S_{[n-1]\setminus T}} \operatorname{sgn}(w) \prod_{i \in [n-1]\setminus T} (-a_{i,w(i)})$$

$$\sum_{f: T \to [n]} \prod_{i \in T} a_{i,f(i)}$$

$$= \sum_{(T,f,w)} \underbrace{(-1)^{\#[n-1]\setminus T} \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(w)}_{\operatorname{sgn}(x)} \cdot \underbrace{\prod_{i \in T} a_{i,f(i)} \prod_{i \in [n-1]\setminus T} a_{i,w(i)}}_{\operatorname{wt}(x)}$$

$$X := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (T, f, w) \\ T \subseteq [n-1] \\ f: T \to [n] \\ w \in S_{[n-1]\setminus T} \end{array} \right.$$

We will evaluate this signed, weighted sum using a sign-reversing involution ...

Picture of (T, f, w):



We can define an involution $\tau : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$.
that swaps the cycle containing the smallest index $i \in [n-1]$ from w to f or back from f to w!

Check that τ
- is an involution (clear)
- is wt-preserving (preserves arcs)
- is sign-reversing (sgn of a k-cycle is $(-1)^{k+1}$ ✓)

What are the fixed points $\mathcal{X}^\tau$?

No cycles in w or f $\Rightarrow$ $[n-1] \setminus T$ is empty, i.e., $T = [n-1]$ and $f : [n-1] \to [n]$ has no cycles

$T = [n-1]$



(easy) Lemma:
This forces f to be an arborescence directed toward n (and conversely, any arborescence is such an f).

Hence, $\det(L^{n,n}) = \sum_{\substack{\text{arborescences} \\ f \text{ on } [n] \text{ directed} \\ \text{toward } n}} \prod_{i \in [n-1]} a_{i, f(i)}$.

∎

11/10

The transfer matrix method (Stanley §4.7, Ardila §3.1.2)

Another tool from linear algebra for counting walks in graphs

Thm Let G be a graph w/ vertex set $V=[n]$, and let $A_G=(a_{ij})_{\substack{i=1,\dots,n\\ j=1,\dots,n}}$ be its adjacency matrix: $a_{ij}=\#\text{ edges from } i \text{ to } j$.

Then (a) # of walks of length ℓ $i:=i_0\to i_1\to\cdots\to i_\ell=j$ $=(A_G^\ell)_{i,j}$ for all $\ell\geq 0$.

(b) # of closed walks of length ℓ $i=i_0\to\cdots\to i_\ell=i$ (for all i) $=\lambda_1^\ell+\lambda_2^\ell+\cdots+\lambda_n^\ell$, where $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\dots,\lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A_G.

Pf: (a) is just definition of matrix multiplication:

$$(A_G^\ell)_{i,j}=\sum_{i_1=1}^{n}\sum_{i_2=1}^{n}\cdots\sum_{i_{\ell-1}=1}^{n}a_{i,i_1}a_{i_1,i_2}\cdots a_{i_{\ell-1},j}=\text{LHS of (a)} \checkmark$$

For (b), from (a) it follows that

$$\#\text{closed walks of length } \ell=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(A_G^\ell)_{i,i}=\operatorname{trace}(A_G^\ell).$$

Since A_G is real + symmetric, it can be diagonalized, i.e., $\exists P$ s.t. $PA_GP^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1 & & 0\\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n\end{pmatrix}$. Thus,

$\boxed{\text{Recall: } tr(AB)=tr(BA)}$

$$\operatorname{trace}(A_G^\ell)=\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(P^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n\end{pmatrix}P\right)^\ell\right)$$

$$=\operatorname{trace}\left(P^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1 & & 0\\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n\end{pmatrix}^\ell P\right)=\operatorname{trace}\begin{pmatrix}\lambda_1^\ell & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n^\ell\end{pmatrix}=\lambda_1^\ell+\cdots+\lambda_n^\ell. \quad \blacksquare$$

Example Let $f(n,k)=\#$ proper vertex-colorings of C_n w/ k-colors.

(no adjacent vertices w/ same color)

C_n ← cycle graph

e.g. $n=2$: $f(2,k)=k(k-1)$

color 1 first in k ways; color 2 second in $k-1$ ways

$n=3$: $f(3,k)=k(k-1)(k-2)$

color 1, color 2, color 3

$\underline{n=4}$  $f(4,k) = \underbrace{\overset{\text{color 1}}{k}\,\overset{\text{color 2}}{(k-1)}\,\overset{\text{color 4}}{(k-2)}\,\overset{\text{color 3}}{(k-3)}}_{\text{2+4 have different colors}} + \underbrace{\overset{\text{color 1}}{k}\,\overset{\text{color 2+4 same}}{(k-1)}\,\overset{\text{color 3}}{(k-1)}}_{\text{2+4 have same color}}$

<u>Note</u>: $\{\text{proper } k\text{-colorings of } C_n\} \leftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}\text{closed walks of length } n \\ \text{in complete graph } K_k\end{array}\right\}$

<u>e.g.</u> $n=6$
$k=3$



proper 3-coloring of C_6 $\longleftrightarrow$



a closed walk in $K_3 =$  of length 6.

So taking
$$A_{K_k} = \begin{array}{c} \\ ① \\ ② \\ \vdots \\ ⓚ \end{array}\begin{array}{c} ①\ ②\ \cdots\ ⓚ \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}\end{array} = \mathbb{1}_k - I_k,$$

which has eigenvalues $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = (k-1, \overbrace{-1, -1, \dots, -1}^{k-1 \text{ terms}})$

(since we saw earlier that $\mathbb{1}_k$ has eigen's $(k, \overbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}^{k-1})$)

we find that
$$\begin{aligned} f(n,k) &= \lambda_1^n + \cdots + \lambda_n^n \\ &= (k-1)^n + (-1)^n + \cdots + (-1)^n \\ &= (k-1)^n + (k-1)(-1)^n \\ &= (k-1)\left((k-1)^{n-1} + (-1)^n\right). \end{aligned}$$

<u>e.g.</u>
$$\begin{aligned} f(2,k) &= (k-1)(k-1+1) = (k-1)k \\ f(3,k) &= (k-1)((k-1)^2 - 1) = (k-1)(k^2-2k) \\ f(4,k) &= (k-1)((k-1)^3 + 1) = (k-1)(k^3 - 3k^2 + 3k) \end{aligned}$$

✓