Upho posets

Combina Texas 2024, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX

Sam Hopkins (Howard University)

March 24th, 2024

Section 1

Posets and generating functions

Poset basics

A **poset** (P, \leq) is a set with a partial order satisfying the usual axioms. We represent posets by their **Hasse diagrams**:

$$P = \{a \le b, c \le d\} = b \bullet c$$

The edges of the Hasse diagram are given by the **cover relations** x < y, meaning x < y and there is no $z \in P$ with x < z < y.

We will consider both finite and infinite posets, but all posets will be at least **locally finite**, meaning **intervals** $[x, y] = \{z : x \le z \le y\}$ are finite.

We use normal P for finite posets and caligraphic \mathcal{P} for infinite posets.

Finite graded posets and their generating polynomials

A finite poset *P* is **graded** (of rank *n*) if $P = P_0 \sqcup P_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup P_n$ where every **maximal chain** in *P* is of the form $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n$ with $x_i \in P_i$. The **rank** of $p \in P_i$ is $\rho(p) = i$. The **rank generating polynomial** of *P* is



If P has a minimum $\hat{0}$, its (reciprocal) characteristic polynomial is

$$\chi(P; x) = \sum_{p \in P} \mu(\hat{0}, p) x^{\rho(p)},$$

where $\mu(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the **Möbius function** of *P*.

Möbius functions

The **Möbius function** $\mu(x, y)$ for $x \le y \in P$ can be defined recursively by

$$\mu(x,x) = 1$$
 and $\mu(x,y) = -\sum_{x \leq z < y} \mu(x,z)$ if $x < y$.



The Möbius function μ is significant for many reasons:

- The Euler characteristic of the order complex of [x, y] is $\mu(x, y)$.
- In incidence algebra of P, $\mu = \zeta^{-1}$ where $\zeta(x, y) = 1$ for all $x \leq y$.
- Möbius inversion: $f(x) = \sum_{y \ge x} g(y) \Leftrightarrow g(x) = \sum_{y \ge x} \mu(x, y) f(y)$.

Graded poset examples: Boolean and partition lattices

 B_n = **Boolean lattice** of subsets of $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ under inclusion:



$$F(B_n; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k = (1+x)^n,$$

$$\chi(B_n; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} x^k = (1-x)^n,$$

with $\binom{n}{k}$ the **binomial coefficients**.

 Π_n = **partition lattice** of set partitions of [*n*] under refinement:



Infinite graded posets and their generating functions

We now do something similar for certain infinite posets \mathcal{P} modeled on the natural numbers $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}$.

An infinite poset \mathcal{P} is \mathbb{N} -graded if $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{P}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{P}_2 \cdots$ where every maximal chain in \mathcal{P} is of the form $x_0 \ll x_1 \ll x_2 \ll \cdots$ with $x_i \in \mathcal{P}_i$.

We say \mathcal{P} is **finite type** \mathbb{N} -graded if $\#\mathcal{P}_i < \infty$ for all *i*, in which case its **rank generating function** is

$$\mathsf{F}(\mathcal{P}; x) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \# \mathcal{P}_i \; x^i = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} x^{\rho(p)},$$

where as before the **rank** of $p \in \mathcal{P}_i$ is $\rho(p) = i$.

1

If \mathcal{P} has a minimum $\hat{0}$, its characteristic generating function is

$$\chi(\mathcal{P}; x) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mu(\hat{0}, p) \ x^{\rho(p)}.$$

Infinite graded poset examples: \mathbb{N}^2 and Young's lattice

Consider $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{N}^2$:



Consider $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{Y}$, **Young's lattice** of integer partitions:



$$F(\mathbb{N}^{2}; x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} (n+1) x^{n} = \frac{1}{(1-x)^{2}}, \quad F(\mathbb{Y}; x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} p(n) x^{n} = \prod_{i \ge 1} \frac{1}{(1-x^{i})},$$

$$\chi(\mathbb{N}^{2}; x) = 1 - 2x + x^{2} = (1-x)^{2}, \qquad \chi(\mathbb{Y}; x) = 1 - x,$$

where $p(n) = \#$ partitions $\lambda \vdash n$.

Section 2

Upho posets

Upho posets

A poset \mathcal{P} is **upper homogeneous**, or "**upho**," if for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ the **principal order filter** $V_p = \{q : q \ge p\}$ is isomorphic to whole poset \mathcal{P} . Looking up from each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we see another copy of \mathcal{P} :



Examples of upho posets:

- the natural numbers N, nonnegative rational numbers Q_{≥0}, and nonnegative real numbers R_{≥0} (all with their usual total orders);
- the finite subsets of any infinite set X (ordered by inclusion).

Because we want to do combinatorics, from now on all upho posets are assumed **finite type** \mathbb{N} -graded. Of above, only \mathbb{N} is finite type \mathbb{N} -graded. Since \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{Q} upho implies $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{Q}$ upho, \mathbb{N}^n is upho for any $n \ge 1$.

Aside: planar upho posets and chain counting

If instead of writing $\mu(\hat{0}, p)$ on each $p \in \mathbb{N}^2$, we write the number of maximal chains from $\hat{0}$ to p, we get **Pascal's triangle**:



Stanley recently introduced upho posets because he was interested in certain analogs of Pascal's triangle coming from other **planar** upho posets:



Upho posets

More upho examples: binary tree poset, "necktie" poset

Consider $\mathcal{P} =$ the binary tree poset:

Consider $\mathcal{P} =$ the "necktie" poset:



An **atom** is an element of rank one. These posets have two atoms, like \mathbb{N}^2 . They have obvious generalizations to any number $r \ge 2$ of atoms, like \mathbb{N}^r .

Rank & characteristic generating functions of upho poset

From the examples of rank and characteristic generating functions of upho posets we have seen so far, it is not hard to guess the following:

Theorem (H. 2022)

For any upho poset
$$\mathcal{P}$$
, we have $F(\mathcal{P}; x) = \chi(\mathcal{P}; x)^{-1}$.

Proof.

For
$$p \in \mathcal{P}$$
, let $f(p) = \sum_{q \ge p} x^{\rho(q)}$. By Möbius inversion,

$$1 = x^{\rho(\hat{0})} = \sum_{p \ge \hat{0}} \mu(\hat{0}, p) \sum_{q \ge p} x^{\rho(q)} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mu(\hat{0}, p) x^{\rho(p)} F(\mathcal{P}; x) = \chi(\mathcal{P}; x) \cdot F(\mathcal{P}; x),$$

where we used $\sum_{q \ge p} x^{\rho(q)} = x^{\rho(p)} F(\mathcal{P}; x)$ from the upho-ness of \mathcal{P} .

Unfortunately, Gao–Guo–Seetharaman–Seidel 2022 showed that there are **uncountably many** rank generating functions of upho posets!

Sam Hopkins

Upho posets

Lattices and their Möbius functions

Recall that a poset P is a **lattice** if every pair of elements $x, y \in P$ have a **meet** (greatest lower bound) $x \land y$ and a **join** (least upper bound) $x \lor y$:



Lattices have well-behaved Möbius functions:

Theorem (Rota's cross-cut theorem)

Let L be a finite lattice with minimum $\hat{0},$ maximum $\hat{1},$ and set of atoms S. Then

$$\mu(\hat{0},\hat{1}) = \sum_{T \subseteq S, \ \forall \ T = \hat{1}} (-1)^{\#T}.$$

In particular, $\mu(\hat{0},\hat{1})=0$ if $\hat{1}$ is not the join of the atoms of L.

Upho lattices and their cores

Let \mathcal{L} be an upho lattice. Let $L = [\hat{0}, s_1 \vee \cdots \vee s_r]$ be the interval from its minimum $\hat{0}$ to the join of its atoms s_1, \ldots, s_r , which we call the **core** of \mathcal{L} :



Corollary

Let \mathcal{L} be an upho lattice with core L. Then $F(\mathcal{L}; x) = \chi(L; x)^{-1}$.

For example, the core of \mathbb{N}^n is B_n , and $F(\mathbb{N}^n; x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^n} = \chi(B_n; x)^{-1}$.

Notice that this corollary implies there are only **countably many** rank generating functions of upho lattices, unlike with arbitrary upho poset.

The core **does not** determine the upho lattice completely. In other words, there are different upho lattices which have the same core.

Nevertheless, a classification of upho lattices must start with an answer to:

Question

Which finite graded lattices L arise as cores of upho lattices?

For example, we saw that the Boolean lattice B_n is a core for any $n \ge 1$.

This can be thought of as a "tiling" problem: our goal is to tile an infinite, fractal lattice \mathcal{L} with a given finite lattice L, or show no tiling is possible.

In what remains, we will provide both **positive** and **negative** answers to this question, showing that it is quite subtle.

Section 3

Examples of cores of upho lattices

The Boolean lattice as a core, again

Fix $k \ge 1$ and let $\mathcal{L} = \{ \text{finite subsets } A \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots\} \colon \max(A) < \#A + k \}$ (with $\max(\emptyset) = 0$), ordered by inclusion.



This \mathcal{L} is an upho lattice with core $L = B_k$, but it is **not** isomorphic to \mathbb{N}^k . These are the simplest examples of two upho lattices with the same core. Notice that $F(\mathcal{L}; x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} {n+k-1 \choose n} x^n = \frac{1}{(1-x)^k} = \chi(B_k; x)^{-1}$.

The partition lattice as a core

Fix $k \ge 1$. Let \mathcal{L} be the set partitions of [n] (for any $n \ge k$) into k blocks, ordered by refinement: $\pi \le \pi'$ if for all $B \in \pi$ there's $B' \in \pi'$ with $B \subseteq B'$.



This \mathcal{L} is an upho lattice with core $L = \prod_{k+1}$. Notice that

$$F(\mathcal{L};x) = \sum_{n \ge k} S(n,k) x^{n-k} = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1-2x)\cdots(1-kx)} = \chi(\Pi_{k+1};x)^{-1}.$$

Uniform sequences of supersolvable geometric lattices

These examples can be generalized. Let $L_0, L_1, ...$ be a **uniform sequence** of **supersolvable geometric lattices**, such as $L_n = B_n$ or $L_n = \prod_{n+1}$. Think: a sequence of graded lattices "nicely embedded in one another."

The Whitney numbers of 2nd/1st kind for these L_n are

$$\mathcal{F}(L_i;x) = \sum_{j=0}^i V(i,j) x^{i-j} \quad ext{and} \quad \chi(L_i;x) = \sum_{j=0}^i v(i,j) x^{i-j}$$

Theorem (Dowling 1973, Stanley 1974)

$$V(i,j) = h_{i-j}(a_1,\ldots,a_{j+1})$$
 and $v(i,j) = (-1)^{i-j}e_{i-j}(a_1,\ldots,a_i),$

where h_k and e_k denote the complete homogeneous and elementary symmetric polynomials, and $a_i = \#\{atoms \ s \in L_i\} - \#\{atoms \ s \in L_{i-1}\}$. In particular, $\chi(L_n; x) = (1 - a_1 x)(1 - a_2 x) \cdots (1 - a_n x)$.

Upho lattices as limits of sequences of finite lattices

We have rank-preserving embeddings $\iota_i: L_i \to L_{i+1}$, so let $\mathcal{L}_{\infty} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_n$, and for any $k \ge 1$, let $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{(k)} = \{p \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}: \min\{n: p \in L_n\} < \rho(p) + k\}$. With $L_n = B_n$ or $L_n = \prod_{n+1}$, these give the \mathcal{L} we saw before.

Theorem (H. 2024)

For each $k \geq 1$, $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{(k)}$ is an upho lattice with core L_k .

Beyond the Boolean and partition lattices, other examples of uniform sequences of supersolvable geometric lattices are:

- $L_n =$ lattice of subspaces of \mathbb{F}_q^n , for any prime power q;
- L_n = intersection lattice of **Type** B_n **Coxeter arrangement**;
- $L_n = Q_n(G)$, the **Dowling lattice** associated to any finite group G.

So, these finite graded lattices are all cores.

Monoid basics

A **monoid** is a set M with an associative product \cdot and identity element 1. The **free monoid** on a set S is the collection of words over alphabet S, with product concatenation and identity the empty word.

A **presentation** of a monoid M is a way of writing $M = \langle S | R \rangle$ as the quotient of the free monoid on S by the relations in R. We want M having S **finite** and R **homogeneous** (relations equate words of the same length).

For example, consider $M = \langle a, b \mid ab = ba \rangle$:



Here we depict the partial order \leq_L of **left divisibility** on M: $x \leq_L y$ if there is some $z \in M$ such that xz = y.

Upho lattices from monoids

M is **left cancellative** if xy = xz implies y = z for every $x, y, z \in M$.

Lemma (c.f. Gao et al. 2022)

Let $M = \langle S | R \rangle$ be a homogeneously finitely generated monoid. If M is left cancellative, then $\mathcal{L} = (M, \leq_L)$ is an upho poset. If moreover every $x, y \in M$ have a least common right multiple, then \mathcal{L} is an upho lattice.

For example, this lemma applies to $M = \langle a, b, c \mid ab = bc = ca \rangle$:



Garside monoids and Coxeter groups

Garside monoids are both left and right cancellative, and have both left and right least common multiplies for every pair of elements.

The major examples of Garside monoids come from finite Coxeter groups. A **Coxeter group** has presentation $W = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_r : s_i^2 = 1 = (s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} \rangle$, like the **symmetric group** S_n with adjacent transpositions $s_i = (i, i + 1)$.

Now fix a finite Coxeter group W.

The classical braid monoid $M = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_r : \widetilde{s_i s_j s_i} \cdots = \widetilde{s_j s_i s_j} \cdots \rangle$ is a Garside monoid. It gives an upho lattice with core the **weak order** of W.

Let $T = \{s_i^w : i = 1, ..., r, w \in W\}$, where $g^h = h^{-1}gh$ is conjugation. The **dual braid monoid** $M = \langle T : ts = st^s \rangle$ is a Garside monoid as well. It gives an upho lattice with core the **noncrossing partition lattice** of W. The example on the last slide was the dual braid monoid of $W = S_3$.

Section 4

Non-examples of cores of upho lattices



Core obstructions: characteristic polynomial and structural

How can we show that a finite graded lattice *L* cannot arise as a core?

There are restrictions on the characteristic polynomials of cores:

Lemma

If L is the core of an upho lattice, all coefficients of $\chi(L;x)^{-1}$ are positive.

This follows immediately from the fact that $\chi(L; x)^{-1} = F(\mathcal{L}; x)$ for a core.

There are also structural obstructions to being a core:

Lemma

Let L be the core of an upho lattice. Let $x \in L \setminus \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$ and let y_1, \ldots, y_k be the elements covering x. Then there is a rank-preserving embedding of the interval $[x, y_1 \lor \cdots \lor y_k]$ into L.

This says L must already be "partly self-similar" for it to be a core.

Face lattices of polytopes

Let P be a (convex) **polytope**. The **face lattice** L(P) is the poset of faces of P ordered by containment. It is always a finite graded lattice.



If P is an n-dimensional **simplex**, then $L(P) = B_{n+1}$, which we know is a core. So we can ask: which other face lattices of polytopes are cores?

Face lattices that are not cores

Let P be the octahedron. Then $\chi(L(P); x) = 1 - 6x + 12x^2 - 8x^3 + x^4$ and $[x^{13}]\chi(L(P); x)^{-1} = -123704$, where $[x^n]F(x)$ means the coefficient of x^n in the power series F(x). So its face lattice L(P) is not a core.



More generally, it can be shown using the structural obstruction that for any $n \ge 3$, L(P) is not a core for P the *n*-dimensional **cross polytope**, which is the convex hull of all permutations of $(\pm 1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Similarly, it can be shown that for any $n \ge 3$, L(P) is not a core when P is the *n*-dimensional **hypercube**, which is the dual to the cross polytope.

Bond lattices of graphs

Let *G* be a connected, simple **graph** on vertex set [n]. A partition π of [n] is *G*-connected if the restriction of *G* to each block of π is connected. The **bond lattice** L(G) is the restriction of \prod_n to *G*-connected partitions.



The bond lattice of a graph is always a finite graded lattice, and the **chromatic polynomial** of G is $\chi(G; x) = x^n \cdot \chi(L(G); x^{-1})$.

For $G = K_n$ complete graph we have $L(K_n) = \prod_n$, which we know is a core. So we can ask: which other bond lattices of graphs are cores?

Bond lattices that are not cores

Consider a cycle graph C_4 on 4 vertices: $\chi(L(C_4); x) = 1 - 4x + 6x^2 - 3x^3$ and $[x^7]\chi(L(C_4); x)^{-1} = -80$. So the bond lattice $L(C_4)$ is not a core.



It can be shown using the structural obstruction that for any $n \ge 4$, the bond lattice $L(C_n)$ of the cycle graph C_n on n vertices is not a core.

Even more generally, it can be shown that the **lattice of flats** of the **uniform matroid** U(k, n) is not a core for any 2 < k < n.

Section 5

Further directions

Number of ways to realize a core

A natural question suggested by our investigation is:

Question

For a finite lattice L, let $\kappa(L)$ be the number of upho lattices with core L. How does $\kappa(L)$ behave?

In work in progress joint with Joel Lewis we are pursuing this question.

On the one hand, we can show that $\kappa(L)$ is **finite** if L has no nontrivial automorphisms, suggesting it may be finite for all L.

On the other hand, we can show that $\kappa(L)$ is **unbounded** even when restricted to lattices of rank two.

Distributive and modular upho lattices

It is easy to show that the only **distributive** upho lattices are \mathbb{N}^n for $n \ge 1$.

Modular upho lattices are more interesting. Fix $n \ge 1$ and a prime p. Subgroups of \mathbb{Z}^n of index a power of p give a modular upho lattice:



Stanley conjectured that (essentially) all modular upho lattices come from commutative algebra like this example.

Sam Hopkins

33 / 34

Thank you!

these slides are on my website:

https://www.samuelfhopkins.com/docs/upho_talk.pdf

and the relevant papers are:

- S. Hopkins. "A note on Möbius functions of upho posets." *Electron.* J. Combin. 29(2), 2022. arXiv:2202.12103
- S. Hopkins. "Upho lattices I: examples and non-examples of cores." Preprint, 2024. arXiv:2407.08013
- S. Hopkins and J. Lewis. "Upho lattices II: ways of realizing a core." In preparation, 2024+.

34 / 34